

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,874	02/07/2001		Cavazza Claudio	200427US0CONT	5366	
22850	7590	06/19/2002				
OBLON SP	IVAK M	CCLELLAND M	IAIER & NEUSTADT PC	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOURTH FL				KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
ARLINGTO		VIS HIGHWAY	Y	,		
AKLINGTO	, v A. 22	2202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1615		
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	40	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
SEMIAL MONIBLA				
			EXAMIR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				•
		`		
		DA	ATE MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION									
C\$B	, THE	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:								
a)		is extended to run	or continues to run	from the dat	te of the final rejection					
b)	中	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.								
		Any extension of time must be obtaine The date on which the response, the p purposes of determining the period of 1.17 will be calculated from the date of	etition, and the fee have been f extension and the corresponding	iled is the date of the r amount of the fee. A	response and also the date for the through the through the systems of the pursuant to 37 CFR					
		ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with								
F	App to p	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 6-6-0- has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:								
1.	构	The proposed amendments to the claim	n and /or specification will not be	entered and the final	rejection stands because:					
	,	There is no convincing showing presented.	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment	is necessary and was not earlier					
		b. 🔯 They raise new issues that would	d require further consideration a	nd/or search. (See No	ote).					
		c. They raise the issue of new mat	ter. (See Note).							
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.									
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
		NOTE: The amendment environment and	t claim it intro d possibly addition	ducing the o	amounts requires public					
2.		Newly proposed or amended claims _ the non-allowable claims.	would be all	owed if submitted in a	separately filed amendment cancelling					
3.	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:									
		Claims allowed:								
		Claims objected to:	20-31							
		Claims rejected: 11~1 Y & However:		-						
		Applicant's response has overcon	ne the following rejection(s):							
4.		The affidavit, exhibit or request for rec	onsideration has been consider	ed but does not overco	ome the rejection because					
5.		The affidavit or exhibit will not be consi presented.	dered because applicant has no	t shown good and suf	ficent reasons why it was not earlier					
	The	ne proposed drawing correction has	has not been approved by	the examiner.	Collamudi S. Kishore, PhD					
	Oth	ther			Primary Examiner Company 1500					